

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
1	I am in favor of the citizens of Palo Cedro being able to make development choices in their community.
2	Maintain existing planning as allowed by General Plan and expectations go forward to Planning Commission so residents voice opposition or support.
3	Neturel on most issues but very strong on getting the community to come together like we did on Palo Cedro Shopping Center, Palo Cedro Park, Foothill High School. Lived here in P.C. for 52 years. Q7 - But come together Netureal
4	Should be Planned and Approved by Residents of Palo Cedro only
5	Q9 - Too broad. Case by case. Prohibit seems to stor all PD? Q3 - Must be simple and not trigger additional costs to property owners. Draft and pass a no 24hr retail ordinance. Get a win on the most agreeable subject. Make it simple. The full comprehensive plan is too much info to deliver and has created confusion.
6	Keep us like we are.
7	--
8	--
9	Palo Cedro should not have additional/exclusionary planning. We are not an incorporated city or town and should abide by existing/current county standards.
10	No steering committee. Let PC grow per Shasta County standards and zoning.
11	No low cost housing. No steering committee.
12	No low cost housing. No steering committee.
13	We moved to PC because of the small town feel, but the convenience and proximity to Redding. We love Palo Cedro as it is. We are not opposed to small growth and planned community designs for the down town area. We welcome the small Mom & Pop type businesses. We dont need big box stores, more gas stations, or high density housing. All those things are just a few minutes away in Redding.
14	There are limited resources (water, jobs, public assistance) in Palo Cedro. I would be strongly opposed to any large housing development, even in a mixed use area. I moved to Palo Cedro for the rural environment and open space. I would be strongly opposed to any large commercial developments (big box stores, warehouses, etc.).
15	--
16	A specific plan would help me know if I ranked some of the other things consistenly.
17	--
18	Q10 - Within reasonable limits to what makes sense to Palo Cedro (nursery/food park.)
19	--
20	We do not want any apartments. Low income housing. Homelessnes not needing to be in a area. They will bring issues to this area. No homeless. No apts.
21	No stirring commitee
22	--
23	I feel preparing a plan would include answering questions on permit questions. Residents do not have the knoledge to answer permitting questions.
24	--
25	--
26	--

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
27	--
28	No gun range!
29	Design standards that prohibit tilt-up concrete box and steel shell retail buildings. Traffic circles to replace 4-way stop signs in central PC. High density housing that mimics village homes in Davis rather than trashy apartments. Traffic calming for dummies.
30	Palo Cedro should maintain its mall town appeal but increase a variety of businesses and restraurants. Infrastructure should keep up with growth i.e. water, emergency services, traffic control. Cedro Lane has become a speed zone, is unsafe for pedestrians, needs a speed limit sign. More walking trails and recreational facilities.
31	Palo Cedro needs a specific plan to avoid big box stores, make it walkable, bike lanes.
32	I would like to have walking trails and bike paths as long as they don't infringe on private property.
33	Walkable downtown with a variety of cool/interesting local businesses. Maintain rural/small town feel.
34	I want little shops that I can walk to. Also, some restraurants to walk to as well.
35	I want small businesses and small shops around town and for the area to be more walable so its easily accessable for the neighborhoods around here. I also don't want anymore big box stores instead we should have more restraurants and things like that. Give the town more pretty buildings instead of the ugly dollar general over there.
36	Make Palo Cedro more walkable.
37	No big stores, Keep PC rural. If it's not broken, don't fix it.
38	I want our town to stay small. Would love more Mom & Pop stores. No big box stores. This survey is very hard to answer. Let us all just be honest with each other.
39	We don't need a Tractor Supply in Palo Cedro. The Dollar General 2 blocks from the Dollar Store was ridiculous. We have enough stores/shops in downtown PC now. We chose to live here and know it's a few miles to Redding. That's ok. We have lived here since 1978 and have been very involved in the community (Boy Scouts, PC Soccer, grand jury, school activities, animal rescue, etc.).
40	--
41	Everyone does not want the same thing. Please work for the people not for your agenda. Listen to the majority and not a small group.
42	--
43	I believe we should maintain Palo Cedro existing plan. I believe trying to bring in additional governing factors that are all ready failing in their own city is poor thinking and planning. People who moved here and all ready live here have done so because we love the way that it is here and also to be able to enjoy not being under the failing governing factors that Redding city limits have currently. Leave Palo Cedro the way it is.
44	No stering commitie. Keep Palo Cedro small less government involvement.

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
45	We want to keep Palo Cedro small. No additional housing, no 24 hr businesses, no low income housing. Less government!! No steering committee. I do not want Palo to turn into an expansion of Redding with all the homeless, drug addicts, crime and city nonsense. Protect the rural way of life.
46	--
47	Keep Palo small and rural. No govt. no steering committee.
48	Keep PC small and rural. No gaut. No steering committee.
49	Keep PC small and rural. No sterring comittiee!!!
50	We do not all want the same thin. Leave PC off your radar. Quit kicking the can.
51	Please put a stop to this nonsense. Mary, saying that everyone "wants the same thing" is a gross over simplification and a cop out. Chris, please start listening to the people who helped get you elected and stop disappointing us. We know that a state grant would come right back into play if you guys don't put this to rest. We also know that state grant money will result in many things we don't want to see in PC. We also know that the "strings" attachd to state grant money would be a real feather in Paul and Adam's caps. In other words, Paul and Adam are in this for the money. Chris, you helped put this to rest in Cottonwood, please have the same respect for Palo Cedro.
52	No stirring committee
53	No stirring committee
54	Less Government
55	Less Government
56	--
57	--
58	--
59	Keep existing general plan.
60	Existing plan/General Plan should be kept as is.
61	I've lived out here since 1958, don't want government to decide what is best for us.
62	I've lived here since 1947, I don't want more government involvement.
63	--
64	Would prefer to maintain rural small town atmosphere, limit additional traffic, retain safety. I am concerned about traffic congestion around schools, especially in case of fire. Additional residential impact on safety, crime, water useage, property taxes.
65	--
66	I have been a resident home owner in Palo Cedro for forty years. On of the main reasons we still live out here on because of the eclectic rural "house town" look. I am against making everything look the same. I do not want large stores a Safeway or Tractor Supply. No high density housing projects or low income apartments. This survey is very confusing to complete - a town hall meeting explaining the wording and intent of questions would have been better.
67	Maintain the rural environment and friendly atmosphere. Work with planning department to focus Redding away from sprawl mentality and focus on live/work planning and development. No 24 hour commercial operations.
68	--
69	Support common sense conservative values of rural living. Thank you for your service.

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
70	--
71	I feel like this was a poorly designed survey.
72	This is a poorly designed survey. The questions are confusing the way they are stated. I can tell you what I don't want in PC. Large commercial buildings/buisness. I don't want big housing developments or apartments. I'm not opposed to PC getting more buisnesses. We need to fill open real estate before building. Don't do any round abouts in PC. We could use a traffic signal at Holiday entrance.
73	No steering committe. We belive the planning staff should provide the public with their draft review planning strategy.
74	--
75	--
76	Please NO steering committee. Make changes with ordinances. I believe that the planning staff should provide the public with their draft review with a new planning strategy.
77	--
78	Shasta County standards have been in place for many years. More layers of regulation will only slow down any progress. Without progress our town will become stagnant and flounder.
79	Q5 - Im okay with limited. Q6 - ok with limited. Q8 - We want to keep Palo Cedro small, beautiful and rural.
80	Palo Cedro needs a plan for future developments. We need guarded growth to prevent big box stores and 24 hour gas stations.
81	Palo Cedro does need a plan for future developments. Palo Cedro need gaurded growth to prevent 24hrs. gas stations and big box stores.
82	We need a plan to protect our rural town and keep big box stores out. Keep our community small.
83	--
84	--
85	As a resident and parent of young children I appreciate our small town and the safet it provides by staying small, family aligned, and conservative mind set. Thank you!
86	I moved here because of the way it is so leave it the way it is.
87	What would be welcomed in Palo Cedro would be a charming country inn, with historic references, and informative walking trail with native plants and a swimming pool. Often we have over-flow relatives/friends having to stay in Redding. Palo Cedro is treasured as a lovely quite place in which to live. People are so happy to be a part of this special community. Development must be minimal and allowed only to enhance Palo Cedro.
88	Have someone from the "166 homes" try to get onto Deschutes off of Lassen View and Swede Creek and se how dangerous it is now at 7:45 to 8:15am without an extra 166 homes and the cars that come along with them. To remain rural, it is tantamount to maintain large multi-acre lots that keep growth under control.
89	We love where we live. Palo Cedro is a small community with hometown vibes. It's what makes us unique. Why make major changes where their not needed or wanted.
90	--

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
91	Palo Cedro is a small community that needs smart growth for local resident first. Large box stores do not support the local community in a positive way.
92	There should be a plan for traffic control and also fixing the road surface! (The roads are bad). There should be a plan for better internet for all of P/C.
93	--
94	--
95	Keep Palo Cedro a small town community. We live out here for a reason to be away from a city.
96	Community impact and friendly cooperation are very important to building a cohesive community.
97	--
98	I oppose a steering committee - unless a steering committee is made up of Palo Cedro residents.
99	We oppose a steering committee. We like Palo Cedro the way it was before the big box stores started coming in.
100	--
101	--
102	--
103	--
104	Less government-making decisions that are not supported by the community. Don't do pop-up meetings without sending everyone in the Palo Cedro area a letter prior to make sure you are representing the PC community wants/needs. Not everyone including myself were sent a letter of notification. I oppose any commercial development, zoning changes, or recommendations by Rickert. Let Palo Cedro people keep things how they are. It was easy to see the deception as your worker spoke to "assist" some locals decide how to answer and gave false info.
105	I am opposed to any and all commercial development, zoning changes, as well as permit changes. As he sits behind me telling people his idea of this he neglects to tell them they already tell us what we can and cannot do on our property. As you allow Hawes to continue to illegally develop their property. No steering committee. People and businesses of Palo Cedro should be here explaining their opinion instead of you or with you!
106	Leave it the way it is! Keep it rural. Not to rezoning.
107	Basically I believe Palo Cedro needs to stay the way it is. No more gas stations or AM/PM. No apartments.
108	No car lots, no low housing, no 24 hour anything. We do not want to become a small Redding. Keep commercial to existing.
109	Survey was missing.
110	--
111	--
112	--
113	We moved to Palo Cedro because it was a small community with country living.
114	Keep town the same.
115	Keep Palo Cedro the same.

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
116	Need to preserve rural nature.
117	Main concern is more gas stations and any businesses that are open 24/7.
118	Establish thoughtful planning and development between the PC community and the County. I support growth with regard to our rural area. I support a specific plan. Thank you!
119	1. Change zoning to keep Palo Cedro rural. 2. Change ordinances to keep Palo Cedro rural. 3. No more gas stations. 4. No big box stores. 5. No big housing developments.
120	No 24 hour services, gas stations, restaurats, no big box stores, no low income housing, no high lights, no more storage units, need new/updated zoning and ordinances, need senior housing, Palo Cedro needs a plan to grow!
121	In life we plan for everything, birth, education, buying a home and even death. Local government assists their communities in planning for water, sewage, roads, electricity, building codes, police, fire dept, etc etc. WHY... 3 years later are we still asking the question "Should we plan Palo Cedro" for future? It is "COMMON SENSE" that the local goverment assist Palo Cedro in planning. The fact that there is a group that opposes planning is ludicrous!
122	Keep PC rural!
122A	--
123	--
124	--
125	--
126	Put this survey online so the residents who could not attend today fill out this form. I personally know 5 or 6 residents who could not attend today.
127	--
128	--
129	--
130	--
131	--
132	--
133	--
134	Prohibit anything that will bring in rif-raf. Prohibit high density developments. No 24-hr businesses.
135	No senior housing or high density housing. No 24 hour business open in Palo Cedro.
136	We as residents should have a big say in how our community is planned and because we pay taxes in Shasta County.
137	--
138	Palo Cedro residents and business owners/operators must have say in all of this.
139	--
140	--
141	No motels, no 24-hour businesses, defined boundaries, laws against lighted signs, no more traffic signals.

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
142	I support a community-led plan that would delineate the appropriate standards and regulations to keep our town safe, quiet, small and rural. WE NEED BORDERS to define Palo Cedro so we can protect it from urban sprawl. Thank you for taking the time to hear our desires!
143	--
144	--
145	Q3 - Should not affect current business owners - only new builds. Q9 - depends on the situation?
146	I'm supportive of clear boundaries that protect PC from Redding encroachment.
147	I tried to make my choices support small business and disallow large stores (sq. ft) and chain stores. I would like C2 to be specific to our community.
148	--
149	--
150	--
151	--
152	Need to review and update plans to ensure compatible uses and maintaining natural environment (e.g. don't cut trees for a big parking lot), no big box stores, maintaining green area around Palo Cedro.
153	--
154	--
155	I think it is important for city centers to allow for growth. Creating a plan would allow citizens to have a say in how their city grows. I also ___ it is important for communities to allow for affordable housing for your families to be able to buy in the community they were raised.
156	I would like to see sustainable growth end to the gold ole' boys club. If I have to abide by the law so should business owners. I approve of more affordable housing.
157	We want to keep Palo Cedro a small community and the way it is. No low income, no 24 hour stores, no expanding Palo Cedro.
158	--
159	--
160	No more stoplights but it'd be great to get rid of the useless bike lane. Clogs up traffic.
161	Not enough details on some of these questions.
162	Let PC residents make the decisions for our town!
163	--
164	Traffic flow "needs" to be addressed NOW!! No issues with senior housing on residential zoning. Hope this helps, I feel I'm really uninformed to give usable input. No more gas stations, schools, churches
165	--
166	--
167	--
168	50 years resident. Four children and 1 grandchild attendedor is attending No. Cow Creek School.
169	--

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
170	--
171	--
172	Keep Palo Cedro rural. No Starbucks, No 15min city
173	We want to protect our rural life style. We want to keep safe, we don't Big Box stores, we only want Mom/Pop type businesses. We love Palo Cedro and want to stay just like it is. Thank you.
174	Leave Palo Cedro alone. Protect our community life style.
175	--
176	--
177	--
178	--
179	I feel the questions are confusing. Please leave Palo Cedro alone. No big development. No big subdivisions. No appartment.
180	Things have been fine. Leave it alone.
181	--
182	--
183	--
184	Strongly support the specific plan. Please incorporate the research from Envision Palo Cedro.
185	--
186	I strongly support planning for Palo Cedro. I attended school in Palo Cedro from 1988-2000 and my children began attending school here in 2013. We bought the home we are raising our kids in in 2017 and intend to stay in Palo Cedro forever. Planning will help preserve the amazing qualities of Palo Cedro - peaceful, quaint, homey, community focused. Please also consider data gathered by Envision Palo Cedro at their workshops I attended and gave my input there as well.
187	--
188	Would like to see Highway 44 4 lanes all the way from Redding to Palo Cedro and Overpass finished at Stillwater over crossing.
189	--
190	--
191	I am for larger lot sizes for housing - 1 acre plus... I am against stip mall buildings. Stop it! No business's that are tweeker maginets. No open all night.. "Stop N Robs." Inform us BEFORE things are a done deal we live here. Q5 - Not apartments or low income housing.
192	In support of larger lot size for residential devolpment (1 acre plus); opposed to 24 hr retail of any kind; opposed to any devolpment of any low cost housing, apartments, etc.
193	I would like a eight variance requirements. I would like to limit development to 5 acres or more. I would like design standards and a quaint town with boutique shopping options. We would like non-big box stores. Q5 - no low income or apartments. Q8 - would like it to be rural residential.
194	No strip malls. Keep big corp. out. Q9 - need info. Q10 - not enough info.

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
195	Support: design standards, limited development. Oppose: high density urban development in Palo Cedro. 24hr retail business operations. Please do not urbanize Palo Cedro.
196	Please always keep the residents informed before hand.
197	No big stores, hotels, motels or condos. Bring in small specialty stores small buisennes. Don't need more gas stations; for houseing keep to 2, 5, 10 or more acres. We are a community and it should reflect that. We moved here from the Bay Area to open a practice in Veterinary Hospital in Redding in 1978. bought in Palo Cedro and raised 3 children. Best decision ever. I am 80 yrs. old now and do not want to see beautiful community spoiled by big industry - Lets keep it country.
198	Q9 - Too Broad. No steering/planing committee concerning future planning in Palo Cedro. Less Govt. overreach not more.
199	No committee!! Leave PC as is! No low income housing! Stop wasting time, resources and money!
200	It is ridiculous to consider senior or low income housing in this area. There are few services available in Palo Cedro and no public transport. This always has been a rural area and that is what makes it so appealing to many of the residents. If we wanted high density housing we could move into Redding.
201	Would like to see this area comply with the General Plan without any modifications keep it as it is
202	Most everyone who lives out here is for a reason. They are independent and want to live with less government influence. We want the rural environment that the East Valley has been. I don't see that there are services here for senior/transitional housing etc... I am glad that the "grant" went away. But I am concerned that more will come. I don't want the strings that will come with any future grants. I am not sure why this was taken care of in Cottonwood but we have to contineu with this here in Palo Cedro. Something about this does not appear to be very transparent. I have read that after years of research... I have lived here since 2009 and I knew nothing about this until Sept. of 2022. But as a result my family has gotten much more involved in the Palo Cedro community and Shasta County.
203	No steering
204	I support a plan. It's important to know where we are going. We aren't all going to agree, but a general plan (not the word general) can be helpful with smart growth for the future. Q1 - no 24 hrs. Q2 - No Home Depot. Q5 - maybe? Q8 - Not sure what all is in it...
205	Support local, no more chains. Get rid of blight (Dollar Tree/General) if at all possible. Limit suburbs, promote larger properties.
206	I went to Envision workshops where we intensively discussed the specific plan for Palo Cedro. Many who opposed it did not understand that we all really want the same thing. I've lived and had my kids in school in Palo Cedro since 1988. Please consider the Envision workshop ideas with this. Thanks for considering this.
207	We need to establish a line of what Palo Cedro is 1st before we can talk about changing our zoning. Q1 - No 24hr Arco ok for non retail. Q7 - Maybe establish our proprity lines. Q9 - There needs to be a way to change things.

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
208	Q3 - Must be simple and not trigger additional cost to property owners. Q9 - Too broad = case by case
209	Must be simple and not trigger additional cost to property owners! Q9 - Too broad (case by case)
210	I support a legally binding contract with the county on thoughtful growth with the town. I would like to see PC town with more walkability.
211	I support a legally binding contract with the county on thoughtful growth with the town. My biggest concerns are with traffic and walkability along Deschutes.
212	--
213	Some of these items would require further discussion to determine one way or the other. I believe Palo Cedro should have its own specific/community plan to determine uses, development standards, etc. I do not believe that the current zoning is necessarily working in the best interest of the town's desired growth. With regard to Planned Development, they can be a wonderful way to incorporate flexibility, but it can also be a fine line allowing perhaps too much deviation from standard. I would love to see growth that promotes small business/local ownership as opposed to large franchises. Make development feasible for everyone, not just those with deep pockets. Development fees are currently prohibitive to many.
214	Keep Palo Cedro Palo Cedro!
215	Keep Palo Cedro, Palo Cedro.
216	Keep Palo Cedro Palo Cedro
217	Keep Palo Cedro Palo Cedro!!!
218	Not sure what existing general plans are for PC.
219	I don't have enough information on current plans for land use nor on future planned development zone amendments. I think we should 1) incorporate as a community and establish city limits 2) elect a city government and 3) then address the issues for land use. Otherwise we are under the regulations established by the county.
220	Leave Palo Cedro under Shasta County Control with a general long term plan to promote local owned businesses and property owners way of small town life. No big box stores. No low income housing. No franchise restaurants.
221	Keep Palo Cedro rural. No low income housing. No big box stores. No senior housing. No high density housing.
222	We moved here for the small town community! Large developments would destroy the small town nature of this community. NO BIG DEVELOPMENTS!
223	--
224	Survey - is not helpful - need more information on all of it - to answer. Some community meetings here in P/C - to find out about water - sewer- Keep P/C rural - Don't change. No to high-density housing
225	--
226	--
227	This survey is very subjective - These questions make no sense - I do not see how this is helpful. I think we need to leave Palo Cedro alone until we have better infrastructure water sewer

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
228	Keep Palo Cedro the way it is. Dont need more gas stations, big box stores. Q7 - What is the plan??
229	Keep Palo Cedro small
230	If you/someone doesn't - Like Palo Cedro - don't move here. Keep P.C. the way it is. Keep small comunity atmosphere and country lifestyle.
231	Limit big box retail, low income housing, large residential subdivisions, and 24 hr. businesses.
232	Small growth only. We moved here for a reason - small town - friendly people always willing to help. Shasta County is not easy to work with. Think they need to stay out our business and work on their own.
233	The County seems to have their own plan and then 110% push it thru the Resourse Dept and Planning Commision
234	Less Government involvement is better. Appropriate things are put into place for buildings/new & old, new lots, incoming businesses. 24 hour businesses would be a bad draw for our community. 24 hour draws generally a rough crowd. Survey feels too vague to answer support or oppose.
235	I am in favor of a specific plan as long as it is prepared by a community based group that will strive to maintain Palo Cedro's rural/semi rural nature. I would be against any mixed use zoning or low income housing, or high density housing. I would be in favor of limiting the size floor plans for retail as I feel that would keep Palo Cedro's character the way that it is. Palo Cedro is a gem! We need to keep it that way.
236	1. Water development & infrastructure needs to be completed prior to any growth. 2. Any development (new) needs to upgrade road infrastructure. 3. Any new housing development should be no less than 1 acre lot size. 4. We live out here because we chose a rural, large property life style. We like our livestock, ability to shoot on our property. We want to maintain this life style without encroachment of large development.
237	We live here because of the small town, local business here. Do not want to have large subdivisions here. We do NOT have the infrastucture to acomidate a large population growth. We don't need "Big Box" of nationa type businesses here. Redding is close enough to drive to any of those senior housing. There is no medical services nearby. Commercial - fill the existing empty commercial spaces. Please keep Palo Cedro small town. Protect our local family owned businesses. No to Tractor Supply. No to Home Depot.
238	No big box / 24 hour business. Less government. Design standards within reason. What about a steering committee of different stands business owner/resident/young residents/senior citizens. People are not understanding "by-right" so they are just opposing everything. moving ahead w/a committee. how will you select the board. Q3 - within reason. Q7 - participants are not aware of the plan.
239	Keep Palo Cedro a quaint family community. No big box stores! No 24 hour stations
240	I want carefully though out plans for Palo Cedro. I do not want low income housing and businesses that will attract potential criminal activity all hours of the night.
241	--
242	--

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
243	--
244	--
245	--
246	--
247	Questions/survey should be distributed prior to asking for resident input; information regarding specific items on survey should be available and identifiable. Please provide this specific information as it relates to Palo Cedro copies of information that would help us make informed decisions have not been supplied. Q7 - answer here depending on more info. Q8 - need info.
248	Please keep Palo Cedro rural! We bought ten acres in the country for a reason. We shop local P.C. businesses, and can get to Redding for the remainder. We do not want growth or commercial development. Thank you
249	--
250	We raised our children in the small town of PC. We very much want to keep PC a small country town. I'm against large commercial developments - However I believe small business with outdoor sales - Brewerys, small event center are perfectly logical for small town feel to continue. Absolutely NO 24hr - AM/PM or Tractor Supply in town, Target or other large retailer.
251	--
252	Palo Cedro is a mish mash. It is not attractive in any way. Without a plan to keep it rural, Dollar General's can pop up again.
253	--
254	These questions can be interpreted in many ways. I support keeping the community rural and not bringing in large apartment housing or fast food or AMPM type situation. Maybe redo survey w/ a few sentences about what support and oppose mean. Q7 - depends on plan
255	Leave P.C. small farm community as is. Need to simplify survey. Explain more detail.
256	Leave P.C. as is. Need better clarifying survey. Vague questions.
257	Q1 - depends on type of business. Q3 - Rite Aid ignored the wishes of our community wishes regarding the "Windmill." Q5 - Does the reciprocal apply? Lake of county enforcement of "residential" zoning on both sides of Deschutes vicinity of corner of Hillside Dr. Q4 - Regu. Q6 - Not by right. Maximum size? Limitation due to water and sewer impact. Q8 - Information on existing plan not provided! Q10 - Someone might bring a new idea that would benefit us without changing our atmosphere. Q9 - We must maintain ability to evolve! PC is not the same as when I became a resident 50+ years ago. Our needs may change.
258	Keep all R-1 lot size at minimum 2 1/2 acre. Palo Cedro should be a rural area the way it has allways been. Dense subdivisions should not be out here, or high density residential and P.D. should have a sheriff substation out here.
259	Oppose setting up steering committe thru Shasta County. Oppose low-income housing. Support adding back sheriff substation in P.C. Bring back East Valley Times newspaper.
260	--
261	--

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
262	Palo Cedro needs a plan for future developments. We need guarded growth to prevent big box stores and 24 hour gas stations. I find it hard to rate or support/oppose the above statements as I don't know what some of them mean represent therefore the above statement would be what I would like to see.
263	The County has made choices in our town that has caused traffic problems. Rite Aid should never have been built. You can drive 6 miles to a Rite Aid in Redding. I'm opposed to having the County tell our community what to do.
264	I do not know current restrictions or plans but would like to see some plan that maintains the rural atmosphere, but allows for change that is appropriate. I am in favor of some plan. I am not in favor of someone doing whatever they want without regard for opinions in the community.
265	--
266	Survey not clear - We love P.C. - don't want change
267	Been in PC 52 yrs. Love our town - area - don't want it to change!
268	Love P.C. don't change
269	Keep P.C. small - charming
270	This survey is very confusing. I'm not sure the general populous understands what is currently allowed vs. what would be allowed with a permit, or what "by right" means. The envision project all ready held in-depth workshops for ALL members of the community to give input. This also allowed for Lon Tatum and all the other members of the opposition to give their input. If we had a clear plan with clear standards many of these questions would be clarified. Q1-5 - A specific plan would guide all of this. Q8 - The new plan would guide this. Q9-10 - A specific plan would guide these.
271	--
272	No "Low-Income" housing! Create a plan to elimatate "Big Box Stores" We don't need corporate names in small town!
273	--
274	--
275	--
276	--
277	--
278	I am in favor of housing with 1 to 3 acre minimum which is the existing requirement as I understand it. Q7 - for the commercial area
279	--
280	--
281	--
282	--
283	Do not wish to see anymore box big name stores, need to keep it locally owned/operated.
284	I like these opportunities to have input regarding our community development. Appreciate Adam Feasler time and efforts to inform us.
285	--

SURVEY #	COMMENTS
286	Thank you! Yes please - Let's reconsider our plan for housing/transportation/commercial based on current and future needs and vision for things that matter to everyone in our community.
287	Lets keep Palo Cedro a small town
288	--
289	--
290	Development in the "downtown PC commercial zone" should be encouraged. Ham-stringing investors with endless rules by "over zelous" individuals is counterproductive. Shopping in P.C. is shrinking as it is now. Shasta County has enough rules already. *concrete table is difficult to write on. sorry.
291	No 24 hour businesses.
292	Thank you for helping plan palo cedro. No plan is not a plan.
293	--
294	--
295	--
296	Questions are misleading
297	Yes, let's design Palo Cedro as a smart city. Based on data, and let's help make the trip between Redding and Palo Cedro bikeable and walkable. Thank you!
298	--
299	--
300	--
301	--
302	--
303	We (myself & my family) support purposeful, functional, and moderately controlled developement of Palo Cedro. We do not want this to turn into a sprawling mess with no cohesion & tons of box stores or industrial looking buildings. Thank you
304	Keep it small. Family oriented
305	Require planned development over 25 units to have an independent wilfire evacuation analysis that addresses safety of future & existing residents. Limit big box store development in Downtown P/C. No more gas stations, dollar stores